

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

CHAMBERS OF
J. FREDERICK MOTZ
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

101 WEST LOMBARD STREET
BALTIMORE, MARYLAND 21201
(410) 962-0782
(410) 962-2698 FAX

September 4, 2007

Memo To Counsel Re: Howard Katz v. Sourcefire, Inc., et al.
Civil No. JFM-07-1210

Joan Raveill v. Sourcefire, Inc., et al.
Civil No. JFM-07-1425

Mark Reaves v. Sourcefire, Inc., et al.
Civil No. JFM-07-1351

Barry Pincus v. Sourcefire, Inc., et al.
Civil No. JFM-07-2048

Dear Counsel:

I have reviewed the memoranda submitted in connection with the pending motions. My rulings are as follows:

1. The motion to consolidate (that I understand is agreed to by all the parties) is granted.
2. Sandra Amrhein's motion to be appointed as lead plaintiff and to have her choice of lead and liaison counsel approved is granted.
3. Mark Reaves' motion to be appointed lead plaintiff and to have his choice of counsel approved is denied. Assuming that Mr. Reaves' motion is timely, I see no reason not to appoint Ms. Amrhein as lead plaintiff and to have her choice of counsel approved. Although, according to their allegations, neither Ms. Amrhein or Mr. Reaves have sustained great damages, the loss alleged by Ms. Amrhein is larger. Moreover, I do not think any useful purpose would be served by having co-lead counsel appointed.
4. Defendants' consent motion for extension of time to answer, move, or otherwise respond is approved.

I request that Ms. Amrhein file a consolidated amended complaint on or before October 4, 2007. In accordance with your agreement, the deadline for defendants to answer or move to

dismiss the consolidated amended complaint is December 4, 2007.

I ask you to confer with one another to agree upon a briefing schedule in the event that defendants decide to file a motion to dismiss. In your discussions, please agree upon deadlines that will have the effect of moving this litigation along expeditiously but avoid (1) Monday deadlines, and (2) deadlines that would have an adverse effect upon the holiday schedule of counsel on either side.

In the event that defendants file an answer other than a motion to dismiss, I will hold a scheduling conference for the purpose of setting appropriate deadlines.

Finally, in light of the rulings I am making, the scheduling conference set for September 17, 2007 is hereby canceled.

Despite the informal nature of this ruling, it shall constitute an Order of Court, and the Clerk is directed to docket it accordingly.

Very truly yours,

/s/

J. Frederick Motz
United States District Judge